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## STUDENTS FEEDBACK



## STUDENT FEEDBACK SYSTEM

Feedback is the essence of two-way communication between the provider and the receiver. Effective feedback, both positive and negative is important for continuous improvement as it helps in understanding the strengths, weaknesses, opportunities andchallenges faced by the organization. It is advantageous to the organization as well asthe stakeholders as improvisations made based on the feedback received benefits allthe stakeholders.
S.S.T College of Arts and Commerce is affiliated to University of Mumbai. The Revised Curriculum (REV-2016) was implemented with effect from the academic year 2016-2017.Normally the revision of the curriculum takes place every four years. Being an affiliated institute to University of Mumbai, Institute has a limited role in the revision of the curriculum. The IQAC has initiated stakeholder feedback policy for curriculum for continuous improvement in curriculum design. The main purpose of this policy is to collect the stakeholders' perceptions of the effectiveness of the curriculum. Feedback is collected from the stakeholders such as Students, Faculty, Alumni and Parents. The collective feedback of all the stakeholders was analyzed and a consolidated report of the recommendations is prepared. The recommendations are communicated to the Head of Departments, Faculty and University of Mumbai, for consideration in the forthcoming curriculum revision.

## IQAC has developed a student feedback proforma.

The printed proforma is collected by departments from the IQAC office. The proforma is provided to students and "in- class" feedback from students is collected. The student feedback is

1. Anonymous - to encourage honest feedback without fear of reprisal from anyone.
2. In Class - to ensure high rate of participation.
3. Objective - to permit data summarization and analysis.
4. Actionable inputs - based on the collected and analyzed forms, individual feedback is provided to teachers by the respective head of the department. Any points about the infrastructure and aspects beyond the purview of the head of the department are communicated to the principal in various meetings.


## STUDENT FEEDBACK - DATA ANALYSIS \& INTERPRETATION

Question 1 - Curriculum is relevant to contemporary needs.

|  | Strongly <br> Agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly <br> Disagree | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No of <br> Students | 491 | 448 | 421 | 101 | 72 | 1533 |
| Percentage | 32.03 | 29.22 | 27.46 | 6.59 | 4.7 | 100 |
| Weighted <br> Score | 2455 | 1792 | 1263 | 202 | 72 | 5784 |
| Weighted mean score |  |  |  |  | 3.77 |  |

Table 1 shows that out of 1533 students from different programmes surveyed 491 i.e. $32.03 \%$ of students strongly agree that the curriculum covers the relevant topics for the assigned subject 448 i.e. $29.22 \%$ of students agree for the same. 421 i.e. $27.46 \%$ of students' views are neutral. 101 i.e. $6.59 \%$ of students disagree and 72 i.e. $4.7 \%$ of students strongly disagree that the curriculum covers the relevant topics for the assigned subjects.

The results to Question 1 are presented below in diagram as shown in Fig. 1

## Question 1 - Curriculum is relevant to the contemporary needs.



Fig1 Represents Percentage of responses on Question 1 for the assigned subject.
Here, it is also observed that the Weighted Mean Score of Question 1 is 3.77 which lies between the Likertrange 3.4 to 4.2 i.e. range for "Agree" so, it can be concluded that Curriculum is relevant to the contemporary needs


Question 2 - Syllabus is suitable to the course.

|  | Strongly <br> Agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly <br> Disagree | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No of <br> Students | 501 | 415 | 420 | 126 | 71 | 1533 |
| Percentage | 32.68 | 27.07 | 27.4 | 8.22 | 4.63 | 100 |
| Weighted <br> Score | 2505 | 1660 | 1260 | 252 | 71 | 5748 |
| Weighted mean score |  |  |  |  |  | 3.74 |

Table 2 shows that out of 1533 students from different programmes surveyed 501 i.e. $32.68 \%$ of students strongly agree that the Syllabus is suitable to the assigned course. 415 i.e. $27.07 \%$ of students agree for the same. 420 i.e. $27.4 \%$ of students' views are neutral. 126 i.e. $8.22 \%$ of students disagree and 71 i.e. $4.63 \%$ of students strongly disagree that the syllabus is suitable to the course for the assigned subjects.

The results to Question 2 are presented below in diagram as shown in Fig. 2
Question 2 - Syllabus is suitable to the course


Fig2 Represents Percentage of responses on Question 2 for the assigned subject.
Here, it is also observed that the Weighted Mean Score of Question 2 is 3.74 which lies between the Likertrange 3.4 to 4.2 i.e. range for "Agree" so, it can be concluded that syllabus is suitable to the assigned course.


Question 3 - The aims and objectives of the course are well defined and clear

|  | Strongly <br> Agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly <br> Disagree | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No of <br> Students | 522 | 406 | 396 | 115 | 94 | 1533 |
| Percentage | 34.05 | 26.48 | 25.83 | 7.5 | 6.13 | 99.99 |
| Weighted <br> Score | 2610 | 1624 | 1188 | 230 | 94 | 5746 |
| Weighted mean score |  |  |  |  |  | 3.74 |

Table 3 shows that out of 1533 students from different programmes surveyed 522 i.e. $34.05 \%$ of students strongly agree that the aims and objectives of the course are well defined and clear for the assigned subject 406 i.e. $26.48 \%$ of students agree for the same. 396 i.e. $25.83 \%$ of students' views are neutral. 115 i.e. $7.5 \%$ of students disagree and 94 i.e. $6.13 \%$ of students strongly disagree that the aims and objectives of the course are well defined and clear for the assigned subject.

The results to Question 3 are presented below in diagram as shown in Fig. 3
Question 3 - The aims and objectives of the course are well defined and clear


Fig3 Represents Percentage of responses on Question 3 for the assigned subject.
Here, it is also observed that the Weighted Mean Score of Question 3 is 3.74 which lies between the Likertrange 3.4 to 4.2 i.e. range for "Agree" so, it can be concluded that the aims and objectives of the course are well defined and clear for the assigned subject.

Question 4 - The syllabus has a good balance between theory and application

|  | Strongly <br> Agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly <br> Disagree | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No of <br> Students | 527 | 418 | 374 | 142 | 72 | 1533 |
| Percentage | 34.38 | 27.27 | 24.4 | 9.26 | 4.7 | 100 |
| Weighted <br> Score | 2635 | 1672 | 1122 | 284 | 72 | 5785 |
| Weighted mean score |  |  |  |  | 3.77 |  |

Table 4 shows that out of 1533 students from different programmes surveyed 527 i.e. $34.38 \%$ of students strongly agree that the syllabus has a good balance between theory and application for the assigned subject 418 i.e. $27.27 \%$ of students agree for the same. 374 i.e. $24.4 \%$ of students' views are neutral. 142 i.e. $9.26 \%$ of students disagree and 72 i.e. $4.7 \%$ of students strongly disagree that the syllabus has a good balance between theory and application for the assigned subject.

The results to Question 4 are presented below in diagram as shown in Fig. 4

> Question 4 - The syllabus has a good balance between theory and application


Fig4 Represents Percentage of responses on Question 4 for the assigned subject.
Here, it is also observed that the Weighted Mean Score of Question 4 is 3.77 which lies between the Likertrange 3.4 to 4.2 i.e. range for "Agree" so, it can be concluded that the syllabus has a good balance between theory and application for the assigned subject.

Question 5-The curriculum accommodates the courses with experiential learning

|  | Strongly <br> Agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly <br> Disagree | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No of <br> Students | 523 | 412 | 391 | 144 | 144 | 1614 |
| Percentage | 32.4 | 25.53 | 24.23 | 8.92 | 8.92 | 100 |
| Weighted <br> Score | 2615 | 1648 | 1173 | 288 | 144 | 5868 |
| Weighted mean score |  |  |  |  |  | 3.63 |

Table 5 shows that out of 1533 students from different programmes surveyed 523 i.e. $32.4 \%$ of students strongly agree that the curriculum accommodates the courses with experiential learning. 412 i.e. $25.53 \%$ of students agree for the same. 391 i.e. $24.23 \%$ of students' views are neutral. 144 i.e. $8.92 \%$ of students disagree and 144 i.e. $8.92 \%$ of students strongly disagree that the curriculum accommodates the courses with experiential learning.

The results to Question 5 are presented below in diagram as shown in Fig. 5

> Question 5 - The curriculum accommodates the courses with experiential learning


Fig5 Represents Percentage of responses on Question 5 for the assigned subject.
Here, it is also observed that the Weighted Mean Score of Question 5 is 3.63 which lies between the Likertrange 3.4 to 4.2 i.e. range for "Agree" so, it can be concluded that the curriculum accommodates the courses with experiential learning.

Question 6 - Curriculum has prospects for higher education / employability

|  | Strongly <br> Agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly <br> Disagree | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No of <br> Students | 523 | 443 | 348 | 156 | 63 | 1533 |
| Percentage | 34.12 | 28.9 | 22.7 | 10.18 | 4.11 | 100.01 |
| Weighted <br> Score | 2615 | 1772 | 1044 | 312 | 63 | 5806 |
| Weighted mean score |  |  |  |  |  | 3.78 |

Table 6 shows that out of 1535 students from different programmes surveyed 523 i.e. $34.12 \%$ of students strongly agree that the curriculum has prospects for higher education / employability. 443 i.e. $28.9 \%$ of students agree for the same. 348 i.e. $22.7 \%$ of students' views are neutral. 156 i.e. $10.18 \%$ of students disagree and 63 i.e. $4.11 \%$ of students strongly disagree that the curriculum has prospects for higher education / employability

The results to Question 6 are presented below in diagram as shown in Fig. 6

## Question 6 - Curriculum has prospects for higher education / employability



Fig6 Represents Percentage of responses on Question 6 for the assigned subject.
Here, it is also observed that the Weighted Mean Score of Question 6 is 3.78 which lies between the Likertrange 3.4 to 4.2 i.e. range for "Agree" so, it can be concluded that the curriculum has prospects for higher education / employability.


Question 7 - The curriculum gives scope for Internship/training/research

|  | Strongly <br> Agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly <br> Disagree | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No of <br> Students | 523 | 402 | 385 | 115 | 107 | 1532 |
| Percentage | 34.14 | 26.24 | 25.13 | 7.51 | 6.98 | 100 |
| Weighted <br> Score | 2615 | 1608 | 1155 | 230 | 107 | 5715 |
| Weighted mean score |  |  |  |  | 3.73 |  |

Table 7 shows that out of 1533 students from different programmes surveyed 523 i.e. $34.14 \%$ of students strongly agree that the curriculum gives scope for Internship/training/research. 402 i.e. $26.24 \%$ of students agree for the same. 385 i.e. $25.13 \%$ of students' views are neutral. 115 i.e. $7.51 \%$ of students disagree and 107 i.e. $6.98 \%$ of students strongly disagree that the curriculum gives scope for Internship/training/research.

The results to Question 7 are presented below in diagram as shown in Fig. 7

## Question 7 - The curriculum gives scope for Internship/training/research



Fig7 Represents Percentage of responses on Question 7 for the assigned subject.
Here, it is also observed that the Weighted Mean Score of Question 7 is 3.73 which lies between the Likertrange 3.4 to 4.2 i.e. range for "Agree" so, it can be concluded that the curriculum gives scope for Internship/training/research.

Question 8 - Course content has corresponding reference materials

|  | Strongly <br> Agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly <br> Disagree | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No of <br> Students | 512 | 423 | 416 | 119 | 63 | 1533 |
| Percentage | 33.4 | 27.59 | 27.14 | 7.76 | 4.11 | 100 |
| Weighted <br> Score | 2560 | 1692 | 1248 | 238 | 63 | 5801 |
| Weighted mean score |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 8 shows that out of 1533 students from different programmes surveyed 512 i.e. $33.4 \%$ of students strongly agree that the course content has corresponding reference materials. 423 i.e. $27.59 \%$ of students agree for the same. 416 i.e. $27.14 \%$ of students' views are neutral. 119 i.e. $7.76 \%$ of students disagree and 63 i.e. $4.11 \%$ of students strongly disagree that the course content has corresponding reference materials.

The results to Question 8 are presented below in diagram as shown in Fig. 8


Fig8 Represents Percentage of responses on Question 8 for the assigned subject.
Here, it is also observed that the Weighted Mean Score of Question 8 is 3.78 which lies between the Likertrange 3.4 to 4.2 i.e. range for "Agree" so, it can be concluded that the course content has corresponding reference materials.
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## ACTION TAKEN REPORTS

| Sr. <br> No. | Issued Raised | Action Taken |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Curriculum <br> gives Scope for <br> Internship | Students were encouraged to undergo various Internships accessible <br> through seven undertakings under an MOU agreement. |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Syllabus scope <br> for higher <br> education | For students who wished to pursue further studies, the college <br> organised not only seminars and workshops, but also encouraged <br> the students to be a part of residential camps which motivated and <br> trained students for challenging competitive and entrance exams. |
|  | Scope for the <br> training <br> Internship and <br> research | The College gave hands-on training on how to make solar lamps in <br> collaboration with IIT Bombay. Students got the opportunity to be a <br> part of the national level project - Solar Urga through Localization <br> for Sustainability (SoULS) initiative, a flagship program of IIT <br> Bombay, based on the 'Power to All'. |
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