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## STUDENT FEEDBACK SYSTEM

Feedback is the essence of two-way communication between the provider and the receiver. Effective feedback, both positive and negative is important for continuous improvement as it helps in understanding the strengths, weaknesses, opportunities andchallenges faced by the organization. It is advantageous to the organization as well asthe stakeholders as improvisations made based on the feedback received benefits allthe stakeholders.
S.S.T College of Arts and Commerce is affiliated to University of Mumbai. The Revised Curriculum (REV-2016) was implemented with effect from the academic year 20162017.Normally the revision of the curriculum takes place every four years. Being an affiliated institute to University of Mumbai, Institute has a limited role in the revision of the curriculum. The IQAC has initiated stakeholder feedback policy for curriculum for continuous improvement in curriculum design. The main purpose of this policy is to collect the stakeholders' perceptions of the effectiveness of the curriculum. Feedback is collected from the stakeholders such as Students, Faculty, Alumni and Parents. The collective feedback of all the stakeholders was analyzed and a consolidated report of the recommendations is prepared. The recommendations are communicated to the Head of Departments, Faculty and University of Mumbai, for consideration in the forthcoming curriculum revision.

## IQAC has developed a student feedback proforma.

The printed proforma is collected by departments from the IQAC office. The proforma is provided to students and "in- class" feedback from students is collected. The student feedback is

1. Anonymous - to encourage honest feedback without fear of reprisal from anyone.
2. In Class - to ensure high rate of participation.
3. Objective - to permit data summarization and analysis.
4. Actionable inputs - based on the collected and analyzed forms, individual feedback is provided to teachers by the respective head of the department. Any points about the infrastructure and aspects beyond the purview of the head of the department are communicated to the principal in various meetings.


STUDENT FEEDBACK - DATA ANALYSIS \& INTERPRETATION

Question 1 - Curriculum is relevant to the contemporary needs.

|  | Strongly <br> Agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly <br> Disagree | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No of <br> Students | 530 | 476 | 418 | 77 | 34 | 1535 |
| Percentage | 34.54 | 31.01 | 27.23 | 5.02 | 2.21 | 100 |
| Weighted <br> Score | 2650 | 1904 | 1254 | 154 | 34 | 5996 |
| Weighted mean score |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 1 shows that out of 1535 students from different programmes surveyed 530 i.e. $34.54 \%$ of students strongly agree that the curriculum covers the relevant topics for the assigned subject 476 i.e. $31.01 \%$ of students agree for the same. 418 i.e. $27.23 \%$ of students' views are neutral. 77 i.e. $5.02 \%$ of students disagree and 34 i.e. $2.21 \%$ of students strongly disagree that the curriculum covers the relevant topics for the assigned subjects.

The results to Question 1 are presented below in diagram as shown in Fig. 1

> Question 1 - Curriculum is relevant to the contemporary needs.


Fig1 Represents Percentage of responses on Question 1 for the assigned subject.
Here, it is also observed that the Weighted Mean Score of Question 1 is 3.9 which lies between the Likertrange 3.4 to 4.2 i.e. range for "Agree" so, it can be concluded that Curriculum is relevant to the contemporary needs

Question 2 - Syllabus is suitable to the course.

|  | Strongly <br> Agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly <br> Disagree | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No of <br> Students | 567 | 481 | 383 | 71 | 33 | 1535 |
| Percentage | 36.94 | 31.34 | 24.95 | 4.63 | 2.15 | 100 |
| Weighted <br> Score | 2835 | 1924 | 1149 | 142 | 33 | 6083 |
| Weighted mean score |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 2 shows that out of 1535 students from different programmes surveyed 567 i.e. $36.94 \%$ of students strongly agree that the Syllabus is suitable to the assigned course. 481 i.e. $31.34 \%$ of students agree for the same. 383 i.e. $24.95 \%$ of students' views are neutral. 71 i.e. $4.63 \%$ of students disagree and 33 i.e. $2.15 \%$ of students strongly disagree that the syllabus is suitable to the course for the assigned subjects.

The results to Question 2 are presented below in diagram as shown in Fig. 2
Question 2 - Syllabus is suitable to the course


Fig2 Represents Percentage of responses on Question 2 for the assigned subject.
Here, it is also observed that the Weighted Mean Score of Question 2 is 3.96 which lies between the Likertrange 3.4 to 4.2 i.e. range for "Agree" so, it can be concluded that syllabus is suitable to the assigned course.


Question 3 - The aims and objectives of the course are well defined and clear

|  | Strongly <br> Agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly <br> Disagree | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No of <br> Students | 565 | 434 | 426 | 73 | 37 | 1535 |
| Percentage | 36.81 | 28.27 | 27.75 | 4.76 | 2.41 | 100 |
| Weighted <br> Score | 2825 | 1736 | 1278 | 146 | 37 | 6022 |
| Weighted mean score |  |  |  |  | 3.92 |  |

Table 3 shows that out of 1535 students from different programmes surveyed 565 i.e. $36.81 \%$ of students strongly agree that the aims and objectives of the course are well defined and clear for the assigned subject 434 i.e. $28.27 \%$ of students agree for the same. 426 i.e. $27.75 \%$ of students' views are neutral. 73 i.e. $4.76 \%$ of students disagree and 37 i.e. $2.41 \%$ of students strongly disagree that the aims and objectives of the course are well defined and clear for the assigned subject.

The results to Question 3 are presented below in diagram as shown in Fig. 3


Fig3 Represents Percentage of responses on Question 3 for the assigned subject.
Here, it is also observed that the Weighted Mean Score of Question 3 is 3.92 which lies between the Likertrange 3.4 to 4.2 i.e. range for "Agree" so, it can be concluded that the aims and objectives of the course are well defined and clear for the assigned subject.

Question 4 - The syllabus has a good balance between theory and application

|  | Strongly <br> Agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly <br> Disagree | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No of <br> Students | 561 | 456 | 408 | 75 | 35 | 1535 |
| Percentage | 36.55 | 29.71 | 26.58 | 4.89 | 2.28 | 100 |
| Weighted <br> Score | 2805 | 1824 | 1224 | 150 | 35 | 6038 |
| Weighted mean score |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 4 shows that out of 1535 students from different programmes surveyed 561 i.e. $36.55 \%$ of students strongly agree that the syllabus has a good balance between theory and application for the assigned subject 456 i.e. $29.71 \%$ of students agree for the same. 408 i.e. $26.58 \%$ of students' views are neutral. 75 i.e. $4.89 \%$ of students disagree and 35 i.e. $2.28 \%$ of students strongly disagree that the syllabus has a good balance between theory and application for the assigned subject.

## The results to Question 4 are presented below in diagram as shown in Fig. 4

> Question 4 - The syllabus has a good balance between theory and application


Fig4 Represents Percentage of responses on Question 4 for the assigned subject.
Here, it is also observed that the Weighted Mean Score of Question 4 is 3.93 which lies between the Likertrange 3.4 to 4.2 i.e. range for "Agree" so, it can be concluded that the syllabus has a good balance between theory and application for the assigned subject.


Question 5-The curriculum accommodates the courses with experiential learning

|  | Strongly <br> Agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly <br> Disagree | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No of <br> Students | 552 | 453 | 431 | 62 | 37 | 1535 |
| Percentage | 35.96 | 29.51 | 28.08 | 4.04 | 2.41 | 100 |
| Weighted <br> Score | 2760 | 1812 | 1293 | 124 | 37 | 6026 |
| Weighted mean score |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 5 shows that out of 1535 students from different programmes surveyed 552 i.e. $35.96 \%$ of students strongly agree that the curriculum accommodates the courses with experiential learning. 453 i.e. $29.51 \%$ of students agree for the same. 431 i.e. $28.08 \%$ of students' views are neutral. 62 i.e. $4.04 \%$ of students disagree and 37 i.e. $2.41 \%$ of students strongly disagree that the curriculum accommodates the courses with experiential learning.

The results to Question 5 are presented below in diagram as shown in Fig. 5

## Question 5 - The curriculum accommodates the courses with experiential learning



Fig5 Represents Percentage of responses on Question 5 for the assigned subject.
Here, it is also observed that the Weighted Mean Score of Question 5 is 3.92 which lies between the Likertrange 3.4 to 4.2 i.e. range for "Agree" so, it can be concluded that the curriculum accommodates the courses with experiential learning.


Question 6 - Curriculum has prospects for higher education / employability

|  | Strongly <br> Agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly <br> Disagree | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No of <br> Students | 557 | 452 | 432 | 61 | 33 | 1535 |
| Percentage | 36.29 | 29.45 | 28.14 | 3.97 | 2.15 | 100 |
| Weighted <br> Score | 2785 | 1808 | 1296 | 122 | 33 | 6044 |
| Weighted mean score |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 6 shows that out of 1535 students from different programmes surveyed 557 i.e. $36.29 \%$ of students strongly agree that the curriculum has prospects for higher education / employability. 452 i.e. $29.45 \%$ of students agree for the same. 432 i.e. $28.14 \%$ of students' views are neutral. 61 i.e. $3.97 \%$ of students disagree and 33 i.e. $2.15 \%$ of students strongly disagree that the curriculum has prospects for higher education / employability

The results to Question 6 are presented below in diagram as shown in Fig. 6

## Question 6 - Curriculum has prospects for higher education / employability



Fig6 Represents Percentage of responses on Question 6 for the assigned subject.
Here, it is also observed that the Weighted Mean Score of Question 6 is 3.93 which lies between the Likertrange 3.5 to 4.5 i.e. range for "Agree" so, it can be concluded that the curriculum has prospects for higher education / employability.

PRINCIPAL

Question 7 - The curriculum gives scope for Internship/training/research

|  | Strongly <br> Agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly <br> Disagree | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No of <br> Students | 574 | 450 | 413 | 61 | 37 | 1535 |
| Percentage | 37.39 | 29.32 | 26.91 | 3.97 | 2.41 | 100 |
| Weighted <br> Score | 2870 | 1800 | 1239 | 122 | 37 | 6068 |
| Weighted mean score |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 7 shows that out of 1535 students from different programmes surveyed 574 i.e. $37.39 \%$ of students strongly agree that the curriculum gives scope for Internship/training/research. 450 i.e. $29.32 \%$ of students agree for the same. 413 i.e. $26.91 \%$ of students' views are neutral. 61 i.e. $3.97 \%$ of students disagree and 37 i.e. $2.41 \%$ of students strongly disagree that the curriculum gives scope for Internship/training/research.

The results to Question 7 are presented below in diagram as shown in Fig. 7
The curriculum gives scope for Internship/training/research



Fig7 Represents Percentage of responses on Question 7 for the assigned subject.
Here, it is also observed that the Weighted Mean Score of Question 7 is 3.95 which lies between the Likertrange 3.5 to 4.5 i.e. range for "Agree" so, it can be concluded that the curriculum gives scope for Internship/training/research.

PRINEIPAL
S.S.T COLLEGE OF ARTS \& COMMERCE ULHASNAGAR-4

Question 8 - Course content has corresponding reference materials

|  | Strongly <br> Agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly <br> Disagree | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No of <br> Students | 534 | 460 | 411 | 98 | 32 | 1535 |
| Percentage | 34.79 | 29.97 | 26.78 | 6.38 | 2.08 | 100 |
| Weighted <br> Score | 2670 | 1840 | 1233 | 196 | 32 | 5971 |
| Weighted mean score |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{3 . 8 8}$ |

Table 8 shows that out of 1535 students from different programmes surveyed 534 i.e. $34.79 \%$ of students strongly agree that the course content has corresponding reference materials. 460 i.e. $29.97 \%$ of students agree for the same. 411 i.e. $26.78 \%$ of students' views are neutral. 98 i.e. $6.38 \%$ of students disagree and 32 i.e. $2.08 \%$ of students strongly disagree that the course content has corresponding reference materials.

The results to Question 8 are presented below in diagram as shown in Fig. 8


Fig8 Represents Percentage of responses on Question 8 for the assigned subject.
Here, it is also observed that the Weighted Mean Score of Question 8 is 3.88 which lies between the Likertrange 3.5 to 4.5 i.e. range for "Agree" so, it can be concluded that the course content has corresponding reference materials.

PRINCIPAL
S.S.T COLLEGE OF ARTS \& COMMERCE ULHASNAGAR-4

## ACTION TAKEN REPORTS

| Sr. <br> No. | Issued Raised | Action Taken |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Curriculum to the <br> contemporary needs | Understanding the need to equip the students with <br> contemporary skills, a Value-Added Course on <br> Communication Skills was introduced. |
| 2 | Experiential Learning | For more exposure to the real-life situation and understanding <br> the practical world, students were taken to the various <br> industries or field visits. |

